CASE REPORT

Expanding the indications: distal femoral osteotomy used successfully to treat recurrent knee effusion
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SUMMARY
Distal femoral osteotomy (DFO) is successful in treating painful valgus arthritis of the knee. We present a case where painless recurrent knee effusion was attributed to constitutional valgus. The absence of pain made the indication for surgery atypical so DFO was carefully considered and planned. A small correction was performed, bringing alignment closer to neutral with complete resolution of the recurrent effusion. We suggest that the indications for knee osteotomy can be expanded to include recurrent joint effusion in carefully selected patients.

BACKGROUND
Varus medial compartment disease is the commonest pattern of knee arthritis. There is a modern revival in knee osteotomies, particularly high tibial osteotomy. Surgeons with greater experience have expanded the standard indications; such that knee osteotomies are now being performed in patients under 40, above 60, in patients with obesity and in combination with additional joint preserving procedures such as cartilage surgery or meniscal transplantation. Knee osteotomies may also have beneficial effects on distant joints.

Valgus knees with associated lateral compartment degeneration present less frequently. Accordingly the indications for distal femoral osteotomy (DFO) are yet to be clearly defined. DFO is appropriate in younger and more active patients, symptomatic from valgus lateral compartment knee arthritis, where arthroplasty is unlikely to meet aspirations for high activity. DFO is intended as a joint preserving procedure to reduce pain and disease progression by unloading the lateral compartment. We present a case report where DFO was used successfully to address a painless but recurrent knee effusion, with a view to raising awareness of the broadening indications for knee osteotomies.

CASE PRESENTATION
A 54-year-old fit and well builder and businessman presented with insidious onset posterolateral joint line pain and the sensation of something getting trapped in his left knee. He led an active lifestyle, attending the gym for 6 days of the week. Bilaterally his knees were in valgus. A slight effusion was detected with posterolateral joint line tenderness and positive provocation tests for the lateral meniscus. A meniscal cyst was palpated posteriorly and confirmed with MRI. A lateral meniscal tear was suspected as the aetiology of his cyst and symptoms. He was offered arthroscopic meniscectomy but he elected on that occasion to continue with conservative measures.

Two years later he represented aged 56, with a lateral clunk and intermittent pain. MRI at this stage confirmed tears of the posterior horns of both medial and lateral menisci. With progressive symptoms he took up the offer of arthroscopy. Both menisci were trimmed to stable margins. Grade 2 changes were observed on hyaline cartilage surfaces of medial and lateral tibiofemoral compartments. Initially he did well following this surgery without immediate complication.

Three months later he returned with a large effusion following a strenuous bike ride. His Oxford novel treatment (new drug/intervention; established drug/procedure in new situation)

Table 1 Frequency, description, volumes colour and localised treatment for his recurrent effusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Effusion description</th>
<th>Volume drained</th>
<th>Colour</th>
<th>Injection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13/08/10</td>
<td>Bit</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Straw</td>
<td>Steroid (Depomedrone)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16/08/10</td>
<td>Increasing</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Straw</td>
<td>Steroid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18/10/10</td>
<td>Bit</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Straw</td>
<td>Steroid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/01/11</td>
<td>Big</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/01/11</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Straw</td>
<td>Steroid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14/03/11</td>
<td>Big</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26/03/11</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27/04/11</td>
<td>Recurrence</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/05/11</td>
<td>Recurrence</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/06/11</td>
<td>Small amount</td>
<td>not stated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/06/11</td>
<td>Lots</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Steroid</td>
<td>Steroid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19/07/11</td>
<td>Lots</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Steroid</td>
<td>Steroid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/08/11</td>
<td>Recurrence</td>
<td>not stated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/08/11</td>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Knee Score (OKS) was 38 (of 48). The effusion was drained and a small volume of steroid infiltrated. He returned a few days later and then 2 months later with further effusions following strenuous exercise in the gymnasium. Presenting with recurrent effusions in this knee then became a frequent pattern, usually after strenuous activity. The frequency and volume of these effusions are shown in Table 1.

**INVESTIGATIONS**

Plain radiographs suggested that the knee was in valgus and a Rosenberg et al. view of his knee (Figure 1) confirmed mild narrowing of the lateral joint space. A full-leg alignment view (Figure 2) was measured according to conventional parameters as shown in Table 2. The medial proximal tibial angle at the knee was normal, but the reduced mechanical lateral distal femoral angle located the deformity in his distal femur. Mikulicz’s weight-bearing line transected the knee at 62% of the tibial width (from medial to lateral). Bone scintigraphy (Figure 3) demonstrated increased uptake in the lateral compartment of his knee.

Postoperatively full-leg alignment views (Figure 2) were repeated showing a subtle correction with Mikulicz’s weight-bearing line now crossing the knee at 55% of the tibial width (from medial to lateral). A lateral radiograph shows the osteotomy to be fully united (Figure 4).

**DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS**

A rheumatological opinion was sought, but the aetiology was not considered to be inflammatory with a negative aspirate for crystals and organisms. The use of a yttrium synovectomy was ruled out. The patient was advised to limit his frequent exercise habits. However, unable to avoid occupational loading and still enthusiastic for gymnasium activity his symptoms persisted.

**TREATMENT**

The hypothesis that gradual lateral compartment overload leading to a loss of joint homeostasis was advanced. Subsequently DFO was offered to unload the lateral compartment where the deformity had been identified in the distal femur. The patient spoke with others who had undergone similar surgery successfully, albeit for more standard indications. In the face of his frequent effusions, resistant to all conservative measures, he elected to proceed.

Surgeons are understandably apprehensive when operating outside standard indications. We were aware that employing DFO to treat recurrent knee effusion would be considered such...
an unusual indication. Subsequently the literature was searched for guidance on specific indications, but was found to be lacking. We looked to the writings of Dye\textsuperscript{13,14} who has advanced the theories of tissue homoeostasis and a functional envelope for the knee, which may be exceeded by supraphysiological loading. Clinical improvement has been observed when loading is restricted to the confines of a diminished envelope of function.\textsuperscript{13} The patient was made aware of our rationale for choosing this operation but he received the guarded counselling that there could be no guarantee of symptomatic improvement.

The long-leg views were annotated\textsuperscript{15} aiming for a correction to neutral which generated a small correction angle of 5°. DFO was performed through a medial subvastus approach to the femur. An anterior biplanar osteotomy was performed above the metaphyseal flare and a 5 mm medial closing wedge of bone was excised (figure 5) to correct his valgus to a neutral position.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Population normal value</th>
<th>Before surgery</th>
<th>After surgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mTFA (varus)</td>
<td>1.3 (varus)</td>
<td>−3.0 (valgus)</td>
<td>−1.3 (valgus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mL DFA</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>84.7</td>
<td>87.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mikulicz point</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPTA</td>
<td>87.2</td>
<td>87.9</td>
<td>88.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Normal values cited for mTFA,\textsuperscript{20} MPTA,\textsuperscript{12} mL DFA.\textsuperscript{12} Mikulicz point at 45% is calculated from a known mean axis deviation of 4 mm medial to the centre of the knee\textsuperscript{21} for an average tibia measuring 80 mm across.

DFO, distal femoral osteotomy; mL DFA, mechanical lateral distal femoral angle; MPTA, medial proximal tibial angle; mTFA, mechanical tibiofemoral angle.

The leg was in 3° of valgus with a low mL DFA and close to normal MPTA indicating that the deformity was femoral. The small correction achieved through DFO has increased the mL DFA and reduced the Mikulicz point essentially bringing these values closer to the population normals.

The osteotomy was held with a medial femoral tomosfix plate (Synthes, Welwyn Garden City, UK). Partial weight bearing was recommended for 6 weeks.

**OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP**

His recovery was uneventful. He was followed up at 4, 8 and 30 months with no further episodes of effusion and a straight
Learning points

- Distal femoral osteotomy (DFO) is a successful operation for patients with symptomatic valgus knee arthritis.
- In this case, the indications for DFO were expanded to include recurrent knee effusion without pain.
- Alignment is critical to limb function, where restoration of alignment can result in excellent outcomes.
- Realignment surgery can offload a knee to the confines of a diminished functional envelope, which subsequently improves tissue homeostasis.

DISCUSSION

In this case slight deformity in the lower limb caused painless recurrent joint effusions. However, mild degenerative changes were present in the lateral compartment and it was hypothesised that correction of his valgus deformity would improve knee biomechanics and reduce effusion frequency. We searched the literature to find examples of knee osteotomies used to treat painless recurrent effusion. The absence of such a report has prompted us to prepare this manuscript. There is a paucity of guidance in the literature relating to the indications for DFO.

A 2007 Cochrane review of knee osteotomies identified only 13 suitable papers, all of which concerned high tibial osteotomy. A 2012 systematic review of DFO was based on the evidence from only six case series. Cumulative survival using arthroplasty as an end point ranged from 64% to 82% at 10 years. DFO has been used successfully to treat patellofemoral arthrosis of the lateral facet in valgus knees and chronic patella dislocation.

Typically function is poor prior to DFO. In one case series the mean preoperative OKS was 13.1. The patient in this case reported an OKS of 38 indicating a high level of function with the mean preoperative OKS was 13.1. The patient in this case the indication for DFO was atypical but justifiable because true alignment improves function of the lower limb. Tissue homeostasis has been restored when knees are loaded because true alignment improves function of the lower limb.

In this case the indication for DFO was atypical but justified because true alignment improves function of the lower limb. Tissue homeostasis has been restored when knees are loaded within the constraints of a reduced functional envelope. We hypothesised that DFO would be an appropriate method to achieve this offloading effect and the successful outcome in this case supports this hypothesis. Thorough clinical examination is essential and in this case the valgus deformity was more apparent when the patient was standing. We recommend that careful patient selection prior to DFO remains essential as do the processes of preoperative planning and meticulous surgical technique to maximise the chances of a success. In well selected and planned cases, knee osteotomy may be beneficial in treating recurrent effusion.
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